

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/057,314	EGILSSON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Apu M Mofiz	2165	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Mary Lou Wakimura.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Apu M Mofiz.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 February 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

1,8,15 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

NONE


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Applicant and the Examiner agreed to add the phrase "computer implemented" in the preamble of all the independent claims to overcome possible 101 related issue.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)